1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA			
7 8	United States of America,) CR 11-00028-001-TUC-DCB(CRP)			
9	Plaintiff,)			
10	vs.) MAGISTRATE JUDGE FINDINGS ANI) RECOMMENDATION UPON) ADMISSION(S)			
11	Manuel De Jesus Zavala-Ruiz,			
12	Defendant.			
13 14	Upon Defendant's request to enter admission(s) of guilt to the allegation(s) that he/she			
15	violated the conditions of supervised release this matter was referred to the Magistrate Judge			
16	by the District Court, with the written consent of the Defendant, counsel for the Defendant,			
17	and counsel for the United States.			
18	Thereafter, in open court and on the record, the matter came on for hearing on			
19	Defendant's admission(s) to the allegation(s) in the Petition to Revoke before the Magistrate			
20	Judge.			
21	In consideration of that hearing and the admission made by the Defendant under oath			
22	on the record and in the presence of counsel, and the remarks of the Assistant United States			
23	Attorney,			
24	(A) I FIND as follows:			
25	(1) that Defendant is competent to admit the violation(s);			
26	(2) that Defendant understands the nature of the allegation(s) against him/her;			
27	(3) that Defendant understands his/her right to an evidentiary hearing and has			
28	knowingly, intelligently and voluntarily waived his/her right to that hearing;			

1	(4)	that Defendant understands the sentencing options the Court may impose as
2		a result of his/her admission(s);
3	(5)	that Defendant has admitted the following the allegation contained in the
4		<u>petition</u>
5	; further, and	d it is agreed that remaining allegations, if applicable, in the petition will be
6	dismissed at	disposition; and
7	(6)	that there is a factual basis for the Defendant's admission(s);
8	(7)	that the admission(s) by the Defendant has/have been knowingly, intelligently
9		and voluntarily made and is/are not the result of force or threats or of promises
10		apart from the agreement between the parties;
11	(8)	AGREEMENT:
12		☐ There is no written agreement;
13		☑ Written agreement submitted and Defendant waives his/her right to appeal
14		the judgment and disposition of the supervised release;
15		☐ Oral agreement of parties;
16	(9)	SENTENCE:
17		☑ The parties agree that the Defendant will be sentenced to:8 months
18		of incarceration;
19		☐ that the government will recommend a period of incarceration not to
20		exceed the middle of the applicable guideline range under U.S.S.G § 7B1.4(a)
21		(Revocation Table), and not below the minimum of that range, i.e. months
22		incarceration;
23		☐ Other, specifically:
24	(10)	SUPERVISED RELEASE/ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS:
25		☐ An additional term of months supervised release;
26		☐ An additional term of supervised release to be determined by the court;
27		No additional term of supervised release ■ A No. 1 Co. 1 C
		☐ Additional Conditions:
28		

1		(11) CONSECUTIVE/CONCURRENT:
2		□ There is no agreement regarding consecutive or concurrent sentences (or).
3		the issue is not applicable in this case);
4		☐ The government agrees that it will not take a position regarding this issue.
5	(B)	I RECOMMEND that the District Court accept the Defendant's admission(s).
6		DATED this 9th day of December 2011
7		DATED this 8 th day of December, 2011.
8		
9		Charle OR Pole
10		CHARLES R. PYLE
11		United States Magistrate Judge
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		